

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, ALTA LOMA SCHOOL DISTRICT, COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO–WEDNESDAY, MARCH 3, 2021

OPEN SESSION, CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

The regular meeting was called to order by Board President Caryn Payzant at 6:02 PM. Present were members Buller, Davies, Martinez, Oerly, and Payzant. Absent none.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Board member Buller led the flag salute.

PUBLIC COMMENT ANNOUNCEMENT

Board President Caryn Payzant read aloud the Public Comment Announcement.

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Moved by Mrs. Oerly, seconded by Mr. Buller, and carried unanimously to adopt the agenda of the meeting as presented.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Moved by Mrs. Davies, seconded by Mrs. Oerly, and carried unanimously to approve the meeting minutes of February 3, 2021, as presented.

Moved by Ms. Martinez, seconded by Mr. Buller, and carried unanimously to approve the meeting minutes of February 17, 2021, with the following changes:

Pg. 34, Member Martinez would like the minutes to reflect that she expressed she was unaware that the 2021 CSBA Delegate Assembly Elections were a group vote and not an individual vote. She was voting “No” because she only agreed on 2 out of the 6 nominees.

RECOGNITIONS AND PRESENTATIONS

District TOSA’s – Margaux Cruz, Gwen Marich, and Tamara Youngstrom were recognized for their hard work and dedication to the teachers of Alta Loma.

The COVID-19 Pandemic has certainly posed many challenges for education. It has kept the classrooms closed and the playgrounds empty, and served up a decision with less than three weeks before the start of our school year to deliver instruction to all of our students remotely. But when hard challenges present themselves, we know they are confidently addressed by strong individuals committed to do the best for children.

Margaux Cruz, Gwen Marich and Tamara Youngstrom, our Teachers on Special Assignment in Alta Loma, took on this challenge with a spirit of teamwork, while demonstrating perseverance and grace. With limited notice, Margaux, Gwen and Tamara learned everything they could about teaching remotely, planned a series of workshops and taught our teachers the aspect of the Google Suite in time to start school on August 10, 2020. Over time the trainings have included all of the technical aspects of teaching from a laptop, but also instructional strategies and tips and tricks to run a virtual classroom more smoothly. They have led the teachers in transitioning from one trimester to the next, ensured we could produce a report card for each student, dealt with hundreds of grade book needs, taught everyone how to document instructional minutes, and opened the door to breakout rooms to allow for small group instruction. They have literally been on call 24 hours a day, 7 days week, ensuring that the teachers of Alta Loma were supported

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 2

during this time of uncertainty. Their regularly published “TOSA TIPS and TRICKS” have been embraced by many.

Now the TOSA team has taken on the challenge of ensuring teachers can teach in a hybrid instructional model, as we approach the reopening of many of our classrooms. The TOSA’s are creating trainings and prepping the presentations, and will again provide a lifeline to our teachers as they encounter yet another challenge. This team has provided incredible guidance and leadership to our teachers, and I deeply appreciate all they have done for the teachers, students and families in our school community.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Public comments are read by a District Representative and read in the order received.

Steven C Claflin

As we all approach a return to in person school many families in this district face a very difficult decision. Almost an entire year of in person normal school has been ripped away from all of our children , never to be replaced. Sitting behind a computer screen vs being in a classroom in front of an engaged teacher has had ,and will continue to have, devastating affects on so many levels .

But now many of us are faced to make an even more difficult decision . Those in charge of our children’s entire education have taken it upon themselves to decide for all of our families what an appropriate school environment will be for our kids .

They have decided 2.5 hours per day of classroom instruction ,likely revolving around virus prevention , is in the best interest of our community . They have decided that forced masks on children , plexi glass around desks , micro managing human contact and playtime for our little ones is perfectly acceptable .

They have decided that families who do not share this paranoia and fear, and are not willing to participate in it , are not welcome to return to school .

They are not concerned with logistics for working parents who have rearranged their entire lives to accommodate distance learning schedules and now are asked to once again “ figure things out “

Parents are now having to choose between the status quo or out of sheer desperation to simply set foot on a campus , accept these crazy restrictions that day by day are being pushed to become normal .

This is not even in the ball park of normal . I hope all parents truly understand what sort of environment their kids will be returning too and most of the reasons you might want to send your children back will simply not be a reality .

Brie Kabia

Good evening members of the board, executive cabinet, and members of the public

First, I would like to attach the end of my public comments from the last meeting, as they were not read or included.

While I appreciate the districts efforts to put together a “compliant” plan to get our kids back in school, please take a look at the 3 different schedules proposed between TK-6 and ask yourselves if you were a parent who had a child in each of the proposed schedules, would you be able to

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 3

send them back to school? Please consider the working parents and whether you are really giving those parents and their child(ren) an opportunity to return to school.

Further, I understand it is likely logistically easiest to keep every child with their same teacher whether they choose to return in person or continue online, but I'd like to ask what impact will this have on the teachers whose attention will essentially be divided between 2 classes and the students who will be forced to learn to deal with it? What message is this sending to the many different teachers and students who are likely already burnt out after a year of distance learning? Please reconsider your schedules and options so that they do not just work best for the district office but work best for the people who will be implementing the return to school plan and the people that will have to adapt to some other new weird form of normal.

This brings me to my next point about the April 2021 board meeting being in person and the perception of what it means to NOT offer a hybrid format for that meeting. Essentially, those who do not feel comfortable with their child returning to school in person were given the opportunity to remain in the distance format, but now, they will not be given the opportunity to access their school board meetings where there will inevitably be notable discussion on options for their distance learning students in the upcoming months. Without some sort of hybrid format, and by making the meeting only available to those who come in person, you are not only making it inaccessible to those who either do not feel comfortable or do not have a choice for attending in person, but it is also denying them an opportunity to give their much-needed input at the time of public comments. I would like to please ask the board and executive cabinet to reconsider having an in-person only meeting, ESPECIALLY in light of the fact that in-person learning in the district is still currently itself slated to be a hybrid format. It is 2021, there seems to be the technology, even within this county, to ensure everyone has access to the school board meetings, especially given these unprecedented times. I also would like to note that it sends a loud message to your school admins, teachers and students that they are being made to implement or participate in a hybrid format because there are no options to fully return in person presently, but then the board or top district staff (I'm not sure which, or how) can decide without repercussion to not to be inconvenienced with a hybrid option of their own.

Next, I would like to discuss some of the comments and processes that happened during the last meeting on February 17. An agenda item that the superintendent brought to the council for Governance Team Consulting was presented and discussed. Ultimately more information was requested, and the item was tabled. As a parent, I also did not and do not necessarily understand the purpose of this consulting and am grateful we will get the opportunity to hear more information about why this would be necessary. So, on one hand, I was extremely proud of the joint decision by the board to request more information about exactly what will be done before spending public funds... However, I was honestly confused by the way the conversation went.

I will give you a direct quote from Board member Oerly when the discussion was initially opened up, "Regarding the submitted proposal, I just cannot understand exactly what is to be addressed. It is just not clear what is to be accomplished and exactly what would take 52 hours over a 4-month period, at a cost of nearly \$8,000. I just would like more information, so I would move to postpone the vote on the item to the next meeting to obtain more further information on exactly what is included and how quickly some of the categories to prioritize." After Mrs. Oerly's comments, 2 other Board members opined that while they were initially supportive of the concept as presented, they did not have issue with deferring to ensure they had more information and possibly consolidate the tasks and costs presented. At that point, Board member Martinez says she also agrees with Mrs. Oerly and seconded her proposal to get more information and have the proposal represented at the next meeting. That is where things got

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 4

strange, as Mrs. Oerly then stated that she is actually supportive of the proposal if the rest of the board is for it, even though she “just wants to be clear what it is she’s supportive of.”

I highlight this because even though the rest of board says they initially agree with the proposal, they also agree the proposal could be fine tuned and more information could be presented. It was clear with the timing of Mrs. Oerly’s second statement that she seemed to care less about the proposal, cost, and activities presented versus not appearing to be “against” other board members or, I guess worse, being “with” Board member Martinez, made even more apparent when she “jokes” about voting no on the motion SHE MADE to postpone.

Again, while I absolutely appreciate wanting more information on ANY activity where there is public expenditure of funds, and while I realize many will roll their eyes that I’m going where I am going right now, but where has this due diligence been in questioning expenditures when myself and many others have asked and begged for the board to please look into the school district and its contracts & expenditures as it relates to the lawyers used to sue children with special needs and their families. I know for myself, I am not suggesting the district be without representation or even not be allowed to defend itself when matters are brought against it, but as it relates to the decisions to FILE against students with special needs, why does the board not give this same type of discourse or consideration for the HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS that are being spent to litigate against children with special needs and their families every year.

I would very much like to see the board exhaust its obligations to the public to advocate for ALL the district’s students, as is recommended by the CSBA. This includes students with special needs and ensuring best practices are being used to educate, not litigate against them.

Finally, I would also like to see the board consider term limits for its board members to ensure that the students of Alta Loma are being represented in a way in which currently benefits them the most. While I certainly can appreciate all the dedication and hard work that goes into being a board member for a school district, especially over a long period of time, I also know that things change rapidly, and I can tell you from experience, as a person who attended school in this district as a child, things are VERY different than what my own kids are experiencing now. Tradition should not automatically trump doing the right thing or current best practices.

Thank you for your time and the opportunity to submit my comments.

Dolores Lopez

Hello Alta Loma School Board and members of the public,

First, I wanted to ask that you instruct the anonymous Alta Loma staff person reading the comments to read them as provided. Last month my comments were changed to exclude the hashtag I mentioned which was hashtag moreteacherslesslawyers. This was either done by mistake or it was a deliberate attempt to prevent others from connecting with us.

I am here in support of children with disabilities in Alta Loma who have been litigated against just because their parents have asked for help. I am extremely disturbed by information I saw on social media showing how one of the attorneys with the law firms of Fagen, Friedman and Fulfroft; went to Cuba on vacation. As a Cuban American, it is shocking to see contractors for a public school district go on vacation to a country that oppresses its people and who is classified by the United States as a State sponsor of terror. Your board should ask questions about the people your contract with to ensure they are acting ethically. These lawyers are already profiting

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 5

from the suffering of families of children with disabilities and now they use the dollars they get from your district to vacation to terrorist countries.

The California School Boards Association legal counsel recently said that a Due process case costs a district over a hundred thousand dollars. A federal court case costs the district much more. In the past year Alta Loma has litigated six cases in federal court to deny services to students with disabilities. In one case, the parents were merely asking for a Vision Therapy evaluation that would have cost the district \$1,000 which happens to be the amount allowed by the SELPA policy. There are two cases pending before the Ninth Circuit Court of appeals related to the Vision Therapy assessment case. These Alta Loma cases can be found on the Public Access to Court Electronic Records website or PACER. The public can also request that the district provide copies of the Due Process complaints in Alta Loma by sending a Public Records Act Request to the Superintendent.

The district also took two brothers to Due Process in a 48 hour period. They filed yet a third due process case against the same family to deny the child assessments. The law firm of Fagen, Friedman and Fulfroost, acting on behalf of the district, has engaged in tactics such as trying to remove the parent as the Guardian for the child and try to get a foster parent assigned to the case. Thankfully, the Judge rebuked FFF and denied their request but they billed your district for every penny of the work they contrived on behalf of Alta Loma. FFF has also notified the Court that they will be seeking attorney fees and costs from the parent, surely as a way to intimidate the parent into not advocating for the student. I encourage you to look at the contract you have with FFF which will most likely have a clause that will authorize FFF to hire private investigators to conduct what amounts to government funded surveillance on the parents in your district. I say government funded because FFF will bill you for the surveillance so your board is essentially funding the surveillance.

Special Education students get blamed for being high cost but the public does not know that the money is not going to services but rather to a special interest group of lawyers who are milking the system. Why not spend the money you are giving to FFF to sue the children of the Alta Loma district on education services for children instead?

Please spend district resources on education and not litigation. #Moreteacherslesslawyers

Rita Loof

Public Comment- Non-agenda items

Good evening board members, Superintendent and members of the public. I wanted to let you know that last month some of my comments were not read into the record. It appears that the Alta Loma staff person who was reading them left portions out. Specifically, I had the hashtag Moreteacherslesslawyers included in my comments and that information was not read into the record.

As you know, the Alta Loma District is a member of the West End Special Education Local Plan Area. As parents and community members, we are very concerned with how WESELPA is using our tax dollars. We are committed to improving educational outcomes for children with disabilities.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 6

WESELPA is acting like an insurance company and not like an educational agency to help children with disabilities. WESELPA has a record number of cases in federal court. According to a recent article by the California School Board Association Legal counsel, a Due Process case costs a district over a hundred thousand dollars so it stands to reason that a federal court case costs even more than that. In the last year there have been approximately 8 federal cases including two Ninth Circuit court of appeals cases in the WESELPA.

Currently, there are no internal controls or any policies and procedures in place to prevent fraud, waste and abuse by the for profit law firms that are controlling the WESELPA. Law firms are allowed to marginalize IEP teams and school board members and engage in unfettered litigation against children with disabilities. The Local Plan does nothing to prevent this type of abuse or protect our children. In many cases, the school board is never made aware of the cases.

As an example, the law firm of Fagen, Friedman and Fulfrost has engaged in questionable practices and has protracted litigation in many cases. The law firm has greatly profited from denying services to children with disabilities and the current contract which has no maximum amount, is essentially a blank check to FFF to continue using our tax dollars to the detriment of children with disabilities. The actual contract has not been presented to your board or the WESELPA Superintendent's Council and Superintendents are essentially asked to vote on a contract sight unseen.

The main problem is that the SELPA's policies act as an incentive to litigate. The Local Plan has an addendum called the Fiscal Allocation Plan which will most likely not be presented to your board as part of the Local Plan approval process. The Fiscal allocation plan states that your district will send SELPA all your special education money but you can only get 30% of it back if you decide to provide services instead of litigation. Then, you can't have independent counsel and have to get SELPA approval before you can even talk to a lawyer. SELPA paid lawyers are loyal to SELPA, not your district. Then when the hardball lawyer goes to extract money from the families who live in your district, whatever money is generated goes back to the SELPA. This is a very good deal for the SELPA but how does that scenario benefit the district? You are supposed to be advocates for your district you are supposed to speak up for your district's interests. I here in support of parents and children in Alta Loma whose situation is caused by the dereliction of duty of WESELPA combined with the unscrupulous actions of FFF.

FFF is literally profiting from the pain they are inflicting on families who are already vulnerable. While kids and families suffer, some of the FFF attorneys go to vacation in Cuba. As you may know, Cuba has been designated as a state sponsor of terror. The State department is designating Cuba as a sponsor of terror because the country continues to harbor American fugitives including a convicted killer of a New Jersey state trooper; among the many human rights abuses against the Cuban people. I provided the Board and Superintendent with a picture of the FFF attorney in Cuba.

I was born in Cuba and I have been a proud American citizen for many years. It is disturbing to see American tax dollars going to a corporation that has employees who vacation in a terrorist state against our government's directives. The lawyers for the district are contractors for a public agency and thus should follow the law. Please investigate why your contractors are taking actions that go against public policy.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 7

I found out about this issue because a picture on social media was posted which I emailed. The Caption reads: "Taxi, Cuban style, hoops, hoops and more hoops but we have finally arrived" The picture show a 1950s car used as a taxi. Due to the oppressive Cuban government, the people cannot own new cars and they have to rely on old cars for transportation. The hoops being referred to sound to me like circumvention of United States travel policies. As a Cuban American, I am outraged to see how an American citizen is amused on vacation at the expense of the suffering of the Cuban people. In the special ed world this lawyer is profiting from the suffering of families of children with disabilities. Please investigate this matter and ask how an UUSD contractor, who is representing a public agency, can get away with disregarding the law

Please direct the WESELPA Due Process Manager, Dr. Royal Lord to stop enabling private law firms to take our public dollars and profit from denying children with disabilities educational services. Please find us at #moreteacherslesslawyers. Thank you for your time.

Marianne Grosner

Dear Alta Loma Board Members and Superintendent,

First of all, I want to start off by saying that I appreciated that during the last board meeting Member Oerly shared concerns related to funding the board governance consultant. As board members you have a duty to due diligence when it comes to things like spending. I would love to see Member Oerly and the other board members share similar concerns related to litigation matters involving special education. The PO for this governance consultant is for \$4,500 but filing Due Process can cost the district up to \$100,000. Special education litigation can have a huge negative impact on the budget. Funding a much needed service for a special education student costs considerably less than attorney fees.

And speaking of fees, is ALSD trying to profit off of public records act requests? I submitted a PRA request and I have been told that I have to pay for electronic or digital copies which is \$.15 cents per page regardless of whether or not it's on paper or digital. It seems a bit steep to charge \$.15 cents for scanning. How much more do you charge for printing records onto paper? Or is it the same cost to print on paper? Other government agencies charge up to \$.10 cents per page such as the City of Rancho. I feel you should know that I've submitted several public records act requests to other school districts this past year and NONE of them charged me anything so for ALSD to charge me seems like they are either trying to dissuade the public from making public records requests or they want to make money off these public records requests.

And lastly, I am curious as to why Board President Payzant says that the Closed Session description for legal matters is left vague so they can meet the district's legal obligations. I am aware of many other districts that provide the public with some basic information such as if it's for an OAH case and many list the OAH case numbers on their board agendas which I believe is a Brown Act requirement. This kind of transparency would greatly be appreciated by the public. It seems like the board is hiding something from the public when it lists things in such a vague manner and excludes important details. Please consider providing more details in your Closed Session agenda to the public such as the type of legal cases and case numbers like other school districts do.

Thank you.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 8

Jason Yang

Dear Alta Loma Board Members and Superintendent:

In every private business, people watch their cost like watching their own blood pressure because a high cost can kill a business. They strive to do more with less.

However, in the public business of special education at Alta Loma, cost seems not a concern when it comes to litigate. It is other people's money (OPM). Spending \$100,000 to solve a \$1,000 problem is a routine practice that is unheard of in the private sector.

In every private business, people care about their customers. A happy customer brings business and reduces operation costs.

However, in the public business of special education, a concerned parent often meets the headwind of retaliation and isolation from the District. In this case, the parent is a board member, Ms. Martinez. The board even isolates its own member.

On this rainy morning, I stopped by a Good Will store and donated some clothes. A middle-aged man waved good bye, saying "Stay warm and stay dry" as I drove away from the receiving dock.

We need to create balance in life. On a rainy day, we need to stay dry; On a cold day, we need to keep warm; On a confrontational day, we need collaboration.

Please do the right thing – educate through collaboration instead of litigation.

Thank you.

Samuel Arias

PUBLIC COMMENT – ALTA LOMA BOARD MEETING OF MARCH 3, 2021

Good evening Alta Loma School Board Members and public,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide public comment today. I am a 10-year-old student with special needs. So, I find the need to speak on behalf of the special need's children and community.

Today, I wish to bring to your attention that your Board of Trustees Website is not being fair to board member Martinez. To begin your board of Trustees webpage includes an old picture that does not include your new member. There has been lots of conversation about inequality and discrimination, I must say. The Board of Trustees webpage shows exactly that. Your webpage does not include a nice picture and biography of the new member Martinez. Even I know that in a TEAM; you must show the same respect to all players. So please update your website and include a nice photograph and biography of member Martinez. Show Ms. Martinez some respect and appreciation. You may not always agree; but at least show respect.

I also urge all board members to be educated on special education. Just like many board members you are only shown one side of the story by being trained by the California School Board Association (CSBA). This organization is maintained by top legal firms that profit by suing children. Please consider attending the Council of Attorney and Parent Advocates training. Only then you will be enlightened with the real stories of special education. Board members please remember that you served all children, including special education children.

I also request you disclose all information related to education at open and close session. Specially if you have any topic or discussion about litigation, it must be disclosed to the public. If you have any draft documents or interim reports to show to members, please ensure you follow the Brown Act and disclose this document with the agenda. Like my mother says, Sam if you have nothing to hide don't act like you are hiding something. So please provide the public an opportunity to review all documents that will be discussed during close and open sessions.

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 9

Finally, please ensure your board policies, directives and any procedure are there to protect all children and their rights.

Please support my cause “YOU TOO MOVEMENT * EVERY STUDENT SUCCESSFUL * MORE EDUCATION/LESS LITIGATION * SPECIAL EDUCATION CHILDREN MATTER.

BOARD REPORTS

Board member Brad Buller... had a week full of research, reading, and looking at education throughout California and the United States as well as in our own District; kudos to our team, they have continued to burn the midnight oil and bringing options; appreciate the hard work of staff and the team up to this point.

Board member Rebecca Davies ... was thrilled to welcome back the Child Care program and Special Day class students to our campuses; February 24 listened in on the Parent Leader Group meeting along with Superintendent Hendricks; shared that Superintendent Hendrick’s goal during this fluid time is consistent communication with the school community, Superintendent Hendrick’s has created a “Superintendent’s Friday Update”; the update will include information on important topics, upcoming District events, and information from each school site; February 25, member Davies sent a letter to State Assemblyman James Ramos in support of Assembly Bill 86, titled: “The Safe and Open Schools Plan”; the Bill passed on March 1 and will provide funding incentives for schools that reopen or have a plan to reopen by April 1; member Davies is also advocating and in support of SB 364, titled: “Pupil meals: End Child Hunger Act of 2021”; she had the pleasure of visiting Jasper Elementary and greeting the Special Day students as they entered campus to start their day; toured the campus along with fellow Board member Payzant; it was wonderful to see this first group of students back on campus; kudos to all the administrative, credentialed, and classified staff that are making this possible for our Alta Loma School District students; member Davies is grateful for Associate Superintendent Steve Thomas’ dedication and perseverance to secure COVID-19 vaccine appointment for our staff; looking forward to listening in tomorrow to hear Mayor Pro-Tem Lynne Kennedy speak, hosted by the RC Chamber of Commerce; lastly member Davies is grateful to two outstanding District retirees, Judy Bach and Stephanie DeVisser, who have come out of retirement to provide service as interim Teaching Assistant Principals.

Board member Jessica Martinez ... clarified that all the trainings she has been attending have been virtual, she has not attended in-person trainings; over the last couple of weeks she has had phone calls with parents from the community, some expressing concerns, some excited for the reopening plans; shared a piece of an email from CSBA regarding state testing; Member Martinez thanked the Executive Cabinet for making the 2nd Interim Report available to the public.

Board member Sandie Oerly ... is focusing on the discussions at the legislative level; attended an ACSA lunch session that was mainly expressing frustration with the proposed legislation” No legislation is better than bad legislation”; had the opportunity to sit in on the Parent Leader Group with Superintendent Hendricks and Associate Superintendent Thompson; both did an outstanding job providing reopening information to the parent leaders and answering questions; sat in on a town hall meeting titled “Community Conversation on COVID-19” sponsored by San Bernardino County Supervisor Joe Baca and it featured San Bernardino County Health Department Director, Corwin Porter; this week attended multiple ACSA sessions on the latest

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 10

developments; Member Oerly clarified that all meetings she references during this time are all virtual.

Board member Caryn Payzant ...received an email from Assemblyman Ramos' office as a follow up to the roundtable they had discussing school opening; Assemblyman Ramos took all the comments that were offered and he drafted a letter to the Governor sharing the information he received at the roundtable; it's gratifying to see our elected official in this area taking a proactive stance and representing what his constituents are saying; along with fellow Board member Davies, visited Jasper today with the intent of watching the Special Day Class students return; they were excited to be there, all safety protocols were being put into place; there was such a positive atmosphere there and it's only going to continue to grow as we get more students on campus; Member Payzant is very grateful and proud to be part of the Alta Loma School District, who is leading out getting our kids back to school.

SUPERINTENDENT & STAFF REPORTS

Superintendent Hendricks shared that she has been able to speak with several parent groups and parents individually. She joined the Carnelian Principal/Parent Chat via Zoom on February 18 and appreciated the time at the Parent Leader Group meeting. Superintendent Hendricks expressed that it's great when we get a chance to meet and dialogue with parents, these opportunities to share thinking, listen and share plans, they broaden our perspective, give us things to think of and carry away. This level of respectful discourse that is taking place during the course of our reopening plans is quite remarkable and does nothing but benefit the opportunity that we have for our students. Superintendent Hendricks had the opportunity to work with some students, last week she met with Vineyard Junior High's ASB Leadership class and Jasper's 3rd graders during the virtual Read Across America event. Superintendent Hendricks shared the new Superintendent's Weekly Report that has started to go out every Friday evening, the continuous communication is very important, there is a lot to share in terms of the great things that our students and adults are doing in Alta Loma.

Associate Superintendent Thomas shared with the Board the District's reopening plan and aspirational timeline to bringing students back to campus. Dr. Thomas shared that the COVID daily case counts in Alta Loma and Rancho Cucamonga are down, Alta Loma is at 12.1 cases per 100,00 and the County of San Bernardino has less than 12 cases per 100,00. Child Care and Special Day class students returned to campuses. Currently there are 94 SDC students on campus and 71 students in our Child Care program.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Moved by Mr. Buller, seconded by Mrs. Oerly, and carried unanimously to adopt the following Consent Calendar items:

Vendor Agreements

Approved agreements with the following vendors, and authorized Karen Hendricks, Steve Thomas, and/or applicable administrators to sign all related documents:

1) Quality Teleservices; 2) SolarWinds, Inc.; 3) South Coast AQMD.

Accepted with appreciation the following donations:

- a. Donation of \$51.80 from Box Tops for Education to Victoria Groves School's Student Fundraiser Account to be used to enhance the instructional program.
- b. Combined donation of \$120.00 from Wells Fargo, Foundation Educational

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 11

Matching Gifts Program to Victoria Groves Elementary School's Student Fundraiser Account to be used to enhance the instructional program.

Board Payment Report

Approved the Board Payment Report, as presented.

Routine Personnel Items

Approved employment, terminations, resignations, leaves and temporary assignments, as presented.

Conference Attendance

Authorized Board member Jessica Martinez to attend CSBA's Masters in Governance Program courses 1-5 and approve all related expenses.

GENERAL FUNCTIONS

Modified Governance Team Consulting Proposal

Moved by Mrs. Oerly, seconded by Mrs. Davies, and carried by the following vote:

Number of members voting AYE:	-4- (Buller, Davies, Oerly, Payzant)
Number of members voting NO:	-1- (Martinez)
Number of members voting ABSTENTION:	-0-

2021-22 Board of Trustees Meeting Schedule

Moved by Mrs. Davies, seconded by Mr. Buller, and carried unanimously to adopt the 2021-2022 Board of Trustees Meeting Schedule, as presented.

CURRICULUM AND INSTRUCTION

Fall Instructional Options 2021-2022

This item is for information only. No action is required.

Associate Superintendent Lori Thompson provided background to this item.

The Board commented on this item.

BUSINESS AND FINANCIAL PROCEDURES

2020-2021 Second Interim Financial Report

Associate Superintendent Thomas presented to the Board the Second Interim Report, which represents the District's financial activity from July 1, 2020 through January 31, 2021 and provided background to this item.

The Board commented on this item.

Moved by Mrs. Davies, seconded by Mrs. Oerly, and carried with the following vote to approve the 2020-2021 Second Interim Financial Report and find that Alta Loma School District will meet its financial obligations for the current and subsequent two fiscal years.

Number of members voting AYE:	-4- (Buller, Davies, Oerly, Payzant)
Number of members voting NO:	-1- (Martinez)

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 12

Number of members voting ABSTENTION: -0-

Board member Martinez would like the minutes to reflect that she is voting “No” because she would like more clarification on the report.

Retirement Incentive Package

Moved by Mrs. Oerly, seconded by Mr. Buller, and carried unanimously to approve a retirement incentive package which offers a payment of \$500 per year of full-time service to eligible classified employees of the Alta Loma School District, and further authorize Superintendent Karen Hendricks and/or Associate Superintendent Steve Thomas to sign all related documents.

HUMAN RESOURCES

Job Description

A second reading was held, moved by Mrs. Davies, seconded by Mrs. Oerly, and carried unanimously to amend the job descriptions for Bus Driver/Dispatcher and Dispatcher/Trainer/Bus Driver as presented.

Resolutions Designating Employee Appreciation

Moved by Mrs. Oerly, seconded by Mr. Buller, and carried unanimously to adopt resolution designating the week of May 10 through May 14, 2021 as *Teacher Appreciation Week* and designating May 12, 2021 as *California Day of the Teacher*.

Moved by Mrs. Davies, seconded by Mrs. Oerly, and carried unanimously to adopt resolution designating the week of May 17 through May 21, 2021 as *Classified School Employee Week*.

Moved by Mrs. Davies, seconded by Mr. Buller, and carried with the following vote to adopt resolution authorizing expenditures related to the Employee Appreciation Program per Education Code Section 44015.

Number of members voting AYE: -4- (Buller, Davies, Oerly, Payzant)

Number of members voting NO: -1- (Martinez)

Number of members voting ABSTENTION: -0-

The Board commented on this item.

BOARD INFORMATION/DISCUSSION

Bond progress

The bond projects are going well, ahead of schedule at Carnelian and Jasper. The District still has 3 million in bonds to sell, we will likely qualify in October or November 2021. Looking at the second Board meeting in April for a bond presentation.

Future agenda items

Review PRA Board Policy

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES

March 3, 2021

Page 13

The Board entered into a discussion about attendance at meetings and changing Board meeting dates and how to address public comments in the future. Both items were referred back to staff for further discussion.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

The date of the next regular meeting of the Board of Trustees is Wednesday, April 7, 2021, at 6:00 PM at Alta Loma Junior High School, 9000 Lemon Ave.

CLOSED SESSION

The Board adjourned to Closed Session at 9:36 PM for the purpose of discussing matters expressly authorized by Government Code Section 3549.1, 54956.9, 54956.9(d), 54957, and 54957.6.

OPEN SESSION/ ADJOURNMENT

The Board reconvened to Open Session, no announcement was made. The meeting was adjourned at 10:20 PM.